



THE OMBUDSMAN FOR ACADEMIC ETHICS AND PROCEDURES OF THE REPUBLIC OF LITHUANIA

DECISION REGARDING COMPLAINT OF ALGIS JOCYS OF 09 FEBRUARY 2015

20 March 2015 No. SP-6

Vilnius

The **Ombudsman for Academic Ethics and Procedures of the Republic of Lithuania** (hereinafter – Ombudsman), <...> examined the complaint of Algis Jocys (hereinafter – applicant) received in the Office of Ombudsman for Academic Ethics and Procedures of the Republic of Lithuania (hereinafter – Office of Ombudsman) on 09 February 2015 and the material submitted by Vilnius University (hereinafter – University), and **determined that:**

The applicant appeals unethical behaviour of the University's professor A. (we have impersonalized the data) (hereinafter – A.). The applicant stated the following: “at present the competition of vernacular creation “Green Grass” is held. The chairman of the evaluation commission is [A.]. I sent him an e-mail to [a@...vu.lt], explained the circumstances, suggested to review the spelling suggestions, and to influence the “Grass” moderators so that the benefit of the suggestions for the dialects would be understood. I have not received a reply within a month <...> and that is not ethical. <...>” (we have impersonalized the data within the angle brackets).

<...>

The University explained the following: “Vilnius University does not participate in management and/or administration of website www.zaliazole.lt and is not related to the activities carried out on that website. Vilnius University has not obliged or assigned any tasks to [A.] or granted him any powers to implement any activities on the aforementioned website in the name of the University, or to cooperate with the persons, who manage/administer that website.”

<...>

The Ombudsman **decided:**

1. To recognize the applicant's complaint as unjustified.
2. To inform Vilnius University about the Ombudsman's decision.
