



# THE OMBUDSMAN FOR ACADEMIC ETHICS AND PROCEDURES OF THE REPUBLIC OF LITHUANIA

## DECISION REGARDING COMPLAINT OF IRENA RAMANECKIENE ABOUT THE DECISION OF THE COMMISSION OF ASSESSMENT AND COMPETITION OF SIAULIAI UNIVERSITY OF 17 JUNE 2009

10 June 2014 No. SP-5

Vilnius

The **Ombudsman for Academic Ethics and Procedures of the Republic of Lithuania** (hereinafter – Ombudsman), <...> examined the complaint of I. Ramaneckiene (hereinafter – applicant) received in the Office of Ombudsman for Academic Ethics and Procedures of the Republic of Lithuania on 03 June 2014 and the submitted material, and **determined that:**

The applicant appeals the conclusion of the Commission of Assessment and Competitions of Siauliai University (hereinafter – UAKK) regarding suitability of the qualification of the lecturer of the Department of Education Science of the Faculty of Education Science of Siauliai University R. Paulauskas (presented in the candidate's assessment card for the post of senior lecturer). The applicant uses the clause 1 of the decision No. SP-4 of the Ombudsman for Academic Ethics and Procedures of the Republic of Lithuania as the ground, as it states that “in absence of the evaluation procedure of the works of research workers and without entering the evaluation results to the assessment card of R. Paulauskas, the commission could not evaluate reasonably the significance of the applicant's research works and thus make motivated decision about allocation of the work to scientific production and its recognition level”.

According to the applicant, all the motives about unlawfulness of the assessment of R. Paulauskas for the post of senior lecturer are listed in her lawsuit to District Court of Siauliai City.

<...>

*It should be noted that the legal acts do not grant the right to the Ombudsman for Academic Ethics and Procedures of the Republic of Lithuania to review the decisions, judgments and rulings passed by the courts, their reasonability and lawfulness, and to contest the factual circumstances determined by the courts.*

<...>

### **The Ombudsman decided:**

To recognize the complaint of the applicant I. Ramaneckiene as unjustified.

---